

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

YSIDRO G. ACEVEDO,)	1:05-cv-00166-AWI-TAG HC
)	
Petitioner,)	ORDER REQUIRING RESPONDENT TO FILE
)	RESPONSE
v.)	
)	ORDER SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA,)	
)	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO
Respondent.)	SERVE DOCUMENTS ON ATTORNEY
)	GENERAL

Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

On February 7, 2005, Petitioner filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus alleging six grounds for relief: (1) the trial judge misinstructed the jury on the elements of the charge of possession of ammunition by a felon (count five); (2) Petitioner was denied a full and fair opportunity to litigate a Fourth Amendment violation in the trial court; (3) insufficient evidence of intoxication was presented to establish probable cause to stop Petitioner, a situation aggravated by the ineffective assistance of trial counsel; (4) ineffective assistance of trial counsel at the preliminary hearing; (5) the 1987 conviction used as a predicate for Petitioner's enhanced sentence was not a "serious" felony and was not supported by substantial evidence; and (6) ineffective assistance of appellate counsel in failing to raise issues on appeal that Petitioner claims were meritorious. (Doc. 1).

1 On May 12, 2005, the Court issued an order to amend the petition in which the Court
2 advised Petitioner that Grounds One and Five did not state cognizable grounds for relief. (Doc.
3 4). The Court cautioned Petitioner that the amended petition should only include cognizable
4 federal claims. (Id.). Petitioner filed his amended Petition on June 6, 2005. (Doc. 5). In his
5 amended petition, Petitioner lists the same six grounds for relief as in the original petition. As to
6 Grounds One and Five, Petitioner asserts that the errors were so egregious that they violated his
7 Fifth and Sixth Amendment rights under the United States Constitution. (Id.).

8 The Court has conducted a preliminary review of the amended petition. Accordingly,
9 pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases and Rule 16 of the Federal Rules
10 of Civil Procedure,¹ the Court HEREBY ORDERS:

11 1. Respondent SHALL FILE a RESPONSE. A response can be made by filing one
12 of the following:

13 A. AN ANSWER addressing the merits of the petition and due within
14 **NINETY (90)** days of the *date of service* of this order. Rule 4, Rules
15 Governing Section 2254 Cases; Cluchette v. Rushen, 770 F.2d 1469,
16 1473-1474 (9th Cir. 1985) (court has discretion to fix time for filing an
17 Answer.).

18 1. Respondent SHALL INCLUDE with the answer any and all
19 transcripts or other documents necessary for the resolution of the
20 issues presented in the Petition. Rule 5 of the Rules Governing
21 Section 2254 Cases.

22 2. Any argument by Respondent that Petitioner has *procedurally*
23 *defaulted* a claim(s) SHALL BE MADE in an ANSWER that also
24

25 ¹The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure are “applicable to habeas corpus proceedings to the
26 extent that the practice in such proceedings are not set forth in the statutes of the United States
27 and has heretofore conformed to the practice of civil actions.” Fed.R.Civ.P. 81(a)(2). Rule 11
28 also provides “the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to the extent that they are not inconsistent
with these rules, may be applied, when appropriate, to the petitions filed under these rules.” Rule
11, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases.

addresses the merits of the claims asserted. This is to enable the Court to determine whether Petitioner meets an exception to procedural default. See, Paradis v. Arave, 130 F.3d 385, 396 (9th Cir. 1997) (Procedurally defaulted claims may be reviewed on the merits to serve the ends of justice); Jones v. Delo, 56 F.3d 878 (8th Cir. 1995) (a review of the merits is necessarily required to answer whether it is more likely than not that no reasonable juror fairly considering all the evidence would have found Petitioner guilty beyond a reasonable doubt).

3. Petitioner's TRAVERSE, if any, is due **THIRTY (30)** days from the date Respondent's answer is filed with the Court.

B. A MOTION TO DISMISS due within **SIXTY(60)** days of the *date of service* of this order based on the following grounds:²

- i. EXHAUSTION - 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(1). A motion to dismiss for Petitioner's failure to exhaust state court remedies SHALL INCLUDE copies of all the Petitioner's state court filings and dispositive rulings so as to allow the Court to examine the limitations issue. See, Ford v. Hubbard, 305 F.3d 875 (9th Cir. 2002); Kelly v. Small, 315 F.3d 1063 (9th Cir. 2003);
- ii. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS - 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1). A motion to dismiss the petition as filed beyond the one year

²Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases provides that upon the court's determination that summary dismissal is inappropriate, the "judge shall order the respondent to file an answer or *other pleading . . . or to take such other action as the judge deems appropriate.*" Rule 4, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases (emphasis added); see, also, Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 and 5 of Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases (stating that a dismissal may obviate the need for filing an answer on the substantive merits of the petition and that the Attorney General may file a motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust.); also, White v. Lewis, 874 F.2d 599, 60203 (9th Cir.1989) (providing that motions to dismiss pursuant to Rule 4 are proper in a federal habeas proceeding.)

1 limitations period SHALL INCLUDE copies of all Petitioner's
2 state court filings and dispositive rulings.

3 iii. SECOND OR SUCCESSIVE Petitions - 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b). A
4 motion to dismiss the petition on the basis of § 2244(b) SHALL
5 include a copy of the previously filed federal petition and
6 disposition thereof.

7 2. OPPOSITIONS to motions to dismiss SHALL be served and filed within
8 EIGHTEEN (18) days, plus three days for mailing. All other oppositions SHALL
9 be served and filed within EIGHT (8) days, plus three days for mailing. REPLIES
10 to oppositions to motions to dismiss SHALL be served and filed within eight (8)
11 days, plus three days for mailing. Replies to oppositions to all other motions
12 SHALL be served and filed within eight (8) days, plus three days for mailing. If
13 no opposition is filed, all motions are deemed submitted at the expiration of the
14 opposition period.

15 3. Unless already submitted, both Respondent and Petitioner SHALL COMPLETE
16 and RETURN to the Court along with the response or motion to dismiss, a
17 Consent form indicating whether the party consents or declines to consent to the
18 jurisdiction of a the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C.
19 § 636(c)(1).

20 4. RESPONDENT SHALL submit a notice of appearance as attorney of record
21 within **SIXTY (60)** days of the date of service of this order for purposes of service
22 of court orders. See, Local Rule 83-182(a), 5-135(c).

23 5. The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to SERVE a copy of this order along with a
24 copy of the PETITION and any exhibits/attachments, on the Attorney General or
25 his representative.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 All motions shall be submitted on the record and briefs filed without oral argument unless
2 otherwise ordered by the Court. Local Rule 78-230(h). All provisions of Local Rule 11-110 are
3 applicable to this order.

4
5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 **Dated: December 27, 2005**
j6eb3d

/s/ Theresa A. Goldner
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE